The Generalized Artin Primitive Root Conjecture

N. A. Carella

Abstract: Asymptotic formulas for the number of integers with the primitive root 2, and the generalized Artin conjecture for subsets of composite integers with fixed admissible primitive roots $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$, are presented here.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: Primary 11A07, Secondary 11Y16, 11M26.
Keywords: Primitive root; Artin primitive root conjecture.

arXiv:1504.00843v7 [math.GM] 5 Aug 2016

1 Introduction

A generalized Artin conjecture for subsets of composite integers with fixed primitive roots is presented here. The focus is on developing an asymptotic formula for the number of integers with the primitive root 2, modulo the generalized Riemann hypothesis, but the analysis easily extends to all the admissible primitive roots $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$. This analysis spawn new questions about the structure of an L-series associated with the multiplicative subset of integers with a fixed primitive root 2, and related ideas.

1.1 Subset of Integers With Fixed Primitive Root 2

The Artin primitive root conjecture states that the integer 2 is a primitive root mod p for infinitely many primes. Id est,

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{3, 5, 11, 13, 19, 29, 37, 53, 59, 61, 67, 83, 101, 107, 107, 131, 139, 149, 163, 173, 179, ...\}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, it has the counting function $\pi_2(x) = \# \{p \leq x : \operatorname{ord}_p(2) = p - 1\} = \alpha_2 \pi(x)$. Here $\pi(x) = \# \{p \leq x\}$ is the primes counting function. Conditional on the generalized Riemann hypothesis, Hooley proved that the subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \operatorname{ord}_p(2) = p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}$ has nonzero density $\alpha_2 = \delta(\mathcal{P}_2) > 0$, see Theorem 9.1 or 10.1 or [22]. Partial unconditional results on the Artin primitive root conjecture are also available in [18], [19] et alii. The subset $\mathcal{P}_2$ is utilized here to generate the subset of composite integers

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \left\{3, 5, 3^2, 11, 3 \cdot 5, 19, 5^2, 3^3, 29, 3 \cdot 11, 37, 45, 53, 55, 3 \cdot 19, 61, 65, 67, 3 \cdot 5^2, ...\right\} \quad (2)$$

which have $u = 2$ as a primitive root. The underlining structure of an asymptotic counting formula $N_2(x) = \# \{n \leq x : \operatorname{ord}_n(2) = \lambda(n)\}$ for the subset of integers $\mathcal{N}_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \operatorname{ord}_n(2) = \lambda(n)\}$ will be demonstrated here. This result is consistent with the heuristic explained in [23, p. 10], and has the expected asymptotic order $N_2(x) = o(x)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the integer* 2 *is a primitive root mod* n *for infinitely many composite integers* $n \geq 1$*. Moreover, the number of integers* $n \leq x$ *such that* 2 *is a primitive root mod* n *has the asymptotic formulae*

(i) $$N_2(x) = \left(\frac{e^{\gamma_2-\gamma\alpha_2}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_2\right)} + o(1)\right)\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\alpha_2}}\prod_{p\in\mathcal{W}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right),$$

(ii) $$N_2(x) \geq \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2\Gamma\left(\alpha_2\right)} + o(1)\right)\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\alpha_2}},$$

where $\alpha_2 > 0$ *is Artin constant,* γ_2 *is a generalized Euler constant,* $\Gamma(s)$ *is the gamma function, and*

$$\mathcal{W} = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : ord_p(2) = p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad ord_{p^2}(2) \neq p(p-1)\} \tag{3}$$

is the set of Wieferich primes, for all large numbers $x \geq 1$*.*

The average order of the counting function $N_2(x)$ has the same average order as the counting function $L(x) = \#\{n \leq x : \mu(\lambda(n)) = \pm 1\} = (\kappa + o(1))x(\log x)^{\alpha_2-1}$ for the number of squarefree values of the Carmichael function λ, but it has a different density $\kappa \neq e^{\gamma_2-\gamma\alpha_2}/\Gamma\left(\alpha_2\right)$, see [35]. This should be compared to the counting function $\pi_2(x) = \#\left\{p \leq x : \operatorname{ord}_p(2) = p-1\right\} = \alpha_2\pi(x)$ for the set of primes having 2 as a primitive root, and the counting function $T(x) = \#\{p \leq x : \mu(\varphi(n)) = \pm 1\} = \alpha_2\pi(x)$ of squarefree values of the Euler totient function φ. All these asymptotic formulae are closely related and scaled by the constant α_2.

Sections 2 to 7 provide some essential background results. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is settled in Section 8. And Section 9 has a result for the harmonic sum constrained by a fixed primitive root. The Appendix has a proof for prime finite fields.

2 Some Arithmetic Functions

The Euler totient function counts the number of relatively prime integers $\varphi(n) = \#\{k : \gcd(k,n) = 1\}$. This counting function is compactly expressed by the analytic formula $\varphi(n) = n\prod_{p|n}(1-1/p), n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. (Fermat-Euler) *If* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ *is an integer such that* $\gcd(a,n) = 1$*, then* $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod n$*.*

The Carmichael function is basically a refinement of the Euler totient function to the finite ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Given an integer $n = p_1^{v_1}p_2^{v_2}\cdots p_t^{v_t}$, the Carmichael function is defined by

$$\lambda(n) = \operatorname{lcm}\left(\lambda\left(p_1^{v_1}\right), \lambda\left(p_2^{v_2}\right)\cdots\lambda\left(p_t^{v_t}\right)\right) = \prod_{p^v||\lambda(n)} p^v, \tag{4}$$

where the symbol $p^v||n, \nu \geq 0$, denotes the maximal prime power divisor of $n \geq 1$, and

$$\lambda\left(p^v\right) = \begin{cases} \varphi\left(p^v\right) & \text{if } p \geq 3 \text{ or } v \leq 2, \\ 2^{v-2} & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } v \geq 3. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

The two functions coincide, that is, $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$ if $n = 2, 4, p^m,$ or $2p^m, m \geq 1$. And $\varphi\left(2^m\right) = 2\lambda\left(2^m\right)$. In a few other cases, there are some simple relationships between $\varphi(n)$ and $\lambda(n)$. In fact, it seamlessly improves the Fermat-Euler Theorem: The improvement provides the least exponent $\lambda(n)|\varphi(n)$ such that $a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv (1 \mod n)$.

Lemma 2.2. ([7, p. 233]) (i) *For any given integer* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *the congruence* $a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv (1 \mod n)$ *is satisfied by every integer* $a \geq 1$ *relatively prime to* n, *that is* $\gcd(a,n) = 1$.

(ii) *In every congruence* $x^{\lambda(n)} \equiv (1 \mod n)$, *a solution* u *exists which is a primitive root mod* n, *and for any such solution* u, *there are* $\varphi(\lambda(n))$ *primitive roots congruent to powers of* u.

Proof: (i) The number $\lambda(n)$ is a multiple of every $\lambda\left(p^v\right)$ such that $p^v|n$. Ergo, for any relatively prime integer $a \geq 2$, the system of congruences

$$a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod p_1^{v_1}, \quad a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod p_2^{v_2}, \quad ..., \quad a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod p_t^{v_t}, \tag{6}$$

where $t = \omega(n)$ is the number of prime divisors in n, is valid. ■

An integer $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called a *primitive root* mod n if $\min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : u^m \equiv 1 \bmod n\} = \lambda(n)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $n, u \in \mathbb{N}, \gcd(u,n) = 1$. *The integer* $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ *is a primitive root modulo* n *if and only if* u *is a primitive root modulo* p^k *for each prime power divisor* $p^k|n$.

Proof: Without loss in generality, let $n = pq$ with p and q primes. Suppose that u is a primitive root modulo p and modulo q, but it is not a primitive root modulo n. Then

$$u^{\lambda(n)/r} \equiv 1 \bmod n \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad u^{\lambda(n)/r} = 1 + an, \tag{7}$$

for some prime $r|\lambda(n)$, and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. This in turns implies that either $u^{\lambda(p)/r} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ or $u^{\lambda(q)/r} \equiv 1 \bmod q$. This contradicts the hypothesis that both $u^{\lambda(n)/r} \not\equiv 1 \bmod p$ or $u^{\lambda(n)/r} \not\equiv 1 \bmod q$. Conversely, suppose that u is a primitive root modulo n, but u is not a primitive root either modulo p or modulo q. Write $u^{\lambda(n)/r} \not\equiv 1 \bmod n$, and proceed as before to derive a contradiction. ■

3 Characteristic Function of Primitive Roots In Finite Rings

The symbol $\text{ord}_{p^k}(u)$ denotes the order of an element $u \in \left(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\,\mathbb{Z}\right)^\times$ in the multiplicative group of the integers modulo p^k. The order satisfies the divisibility condition $\text{ord}_{p^k}(u)|\lambda(n)$, and primitive roots have maximal orders $\text{ord}_{p^k}(u) = \lambda(n)$. The basic properties of primitive root are explicated in [2], [40], et cetera. The characteristic function $f : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$ of a fixed primitive root u in the finite ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\,\mathbb{Z}$, the integers modulo p^k, is determined here.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $p^k, k \geq 1$, *be a prime power, and let* $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ *be an integer such that* $\gcd\left(u, p^k\right) = 1$. *Then*

(i) *The characteristic* f *function of the primitive root* $(u \bmod p^k)$ *is given by*

$$f\left(p^k\right)=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p^k=2^k, k\leq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^k=2^k, k>2, \\ 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{p^k}(u)=p^{k-1}(p-1), p>2, \text{for any } k\geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_{p^k}(u)\neq p^{k-1}(p-1), \text{ and } p>2, k\geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

(ii) *The function* f *is multiplicative, but not completely multiplicative since*
(iii) $f(pq)=f(p)f(q), \ \gcd(p,q)=1$,
(iv) $f\left(p^2\right)\neq f(p)f(p), \text{ if } \operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u)\neq p(p-1)$.

Proof: The function has the value $f\left(p^k\right)=1$ if and only if the element $u\in\left(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\,\mathbb{Z}\right)^\times$ is a primitive root modulo p^k. Otherwise, it vanishes: $f\left(p^k\right)=0$. The completely multiplicative property fails because of the existence of Wieferich primes, exempli gratia, $0=f\left(1093^2\right)\neq f(1093)f(1093)=1$. ■

Observe that the conditions $\operatorname{ord}_p(u)=p-1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u)\neq p(p-1)$ imply that the integer $u\neq\pm 1, v^2$ cannot be extended to a primitive root mod $p^k, k\geq 2$. But that the condition $\operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u)=p(p-1)$ implies that the integer u can be extended to a primitive root mod $p^k, k\geq 2$.

4 Wirsing Formula

This formula provides decompositions of some summatory multiplicative functions as products over the primes supports of the functions. This technique works well with certain multiplicative functions, which have supports on subsets of primes numbers of nonzero densities.

Lemma 4.1. ([49, p. 71]) *Suppose that* $f:\mathbb{N}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ *is a multiplicative function with the following properties.*

(i) $f(n)\geq 0$ for all integers $n\in\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $f\left(p^k\right)\leq c^k$ for all integers $k\in\mathbb{N}$, and $c<2$ constant.
(iii) $\sum_{p\leq x} f(p)=(\tau+o(1))x/\log x$, *where* $\tau>0$ *is a constant as* $x\longrightarrow\infty$.

Then

$$\sum_{n\leq x} f(n)=\left(\frac{1}{e^{\gamma\tau}\Gamma(\tau)}+o(1)\right)\frac{x}{\log x}\prod_{p\leq x}\left(1+\frac{f(p)}{p}+\frac{f\left(p^2\right)}{p^2}+\cdots\right). \tag{9}$$

The gamma function appearing in the above formula is defined by $\Gamma(s)=\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}e^{-st}dt, s\in\mathbb{C}$. The intricate proof of Wirsing formula appears in [49]. It is also assembled in various papers, such as [21], [38, p. 195], and discussed in [33, p. 70], [44, p. 308]. Various applications are provided in [30], [35], [50], et alii.

5 Prime Harmonic Sums Over Primes With Fixed Primitive Roots

The subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_u = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \text{ord}_p(u) = p-1\} \subset \mathbb{P}$ consists of all the primes with a fixed primitive root $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Hooley theorem, which is conditional on the generalized Riemann hypothesis, it has nonzero density $\alpha_u = \delta(\mathcal{P}_u) > 0$. The real number $\alpha_u > 0$ coincides with the corresponding Artin constant, see [22, p. 220], for the formula. The proof of the next result is based on standard analytic number theory methods in the literature.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume the generalized Riemann hypothesis, and let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Then, there exists a pair of constants $\beta_u > 0$, and $\gamma_u > 0$ such that*

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{p\leq x, p\in\mathcal{P}_u} \frac{1}{p} = \alpha_u \log\log x + \beta_u + O\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right).$

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{p\leq x, p\in\mathcal{P}_u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} = \alpha_u \log x - \gamma_u + O\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right).$

Proof: (i) Let $\pi_u(x) = \#\{p \leq x : \text{ord}_p(u) = p-1\} = \alpha_u\pi(x)$ be the counting measure of the corresponding subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_u$. To estimate the asymptotic order of the prime harmonic sum, use the Stieltjes integral representation:

$$\sum_{p\leq x, p\in\mathcal{P}_u} \frac{1}{p} = \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{1}{t} d\pi_u(t) = \frac{\pi_u(x)}{x} + c_(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\pi_u(t)}{t^2} dt, \tag{10}$$

where $x_0 > 0$ is a constant. Applying Theorem 6.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{1}{t} d\pi_u(t) &= \frac{\alpha_u}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log^2(x)}\right) + c_0(x_0) \\ &\quad + \alpha_u \int_{x_0}^{x} \left(\frac{1}{t\log t} + O\left(\frac{\log\log t}{t\log^2(t)}\right)\right) dt \\ &= \alpha_u \text{loglog} x - \text{loglog} x_0 + c_0(x_0) + O\left(\frac{\text{loglog} x}{\log^2 x}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\beta_u = -\text{loglog}x_0 + c_0(x_0)$ is the Artin-Mertens constant. The statement (ii) follows from statement (i) and partial summation. ■

The Artin-Mertens constant β_u and the Artin-Euler constant γ_u have other equivalent definitions such as

$$\beta_u = \lim_{x\to\infty}\left(\sum_{p\leq x, p\in\mathcal{P}_u} \frac{1}{p} - \alpha_u \text{loglog} x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_u = \gamma_u - \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_u,\ k\geq 2} \sum \frac{1}{kp^k}, \tag{12}$$

respectively. These constants satisfy $\beta_u = \beta_1\alpha_u$ and $\gamma_u = \gamma\alpha_u$. If the density $\alpha_u = 1$, these definitions reduce to the usual Euler constant and the Mertens constant, which are defined by the limits

$$\gamma = \lim_{x\to\infty}\left(\sum_{p\leq x} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - \log x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 = \lim_{x\to\infty}\left(\sum_{p\leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \text{loglog} x\right), \tag{13}$$

or some other equivalent definitions, respectively. Moreover, the linear independence relation in (12) becomes $\beta = \gamma - \sum_{p \geq 2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \left(kp^k\right)^{-1}$, see [20, Theorem 427].

A numerical experiment for the primitive root $u = 2$ gives the approximate values

(i) $\alpha_2 = \prod_{p \geq 2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) = 0.3739558667768911078453786 \ldots.$

(ii) $\beta_2 \approx \sum_{p \leq 1000, p \in \mathcal{P}_2} \frac{1}{p} - \alpha_2 \log\log x = 0.3286445255848053749999 56 \ldots$, and

(iii) $\gamma_2 \approx \sum_{p \leq 1000, p \in \mathcal{P}_2} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - \alpha_2 \log x = 0.4249022733662347457966 16 \ldots.$

6 Products Over Primes With Fixed Primitive Roots

The subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_u = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \operatorname{ord}_p(u) = p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}$ consists of all the primes with a fixed primitive root $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Hooley theorem, which is conditional on the generalized Riemann hypothesis, it has nonzero density $\alpha_u = \delta\left(\mathcal{P}_u\right) > 0$. The real number $\alpha_u > 0$ coincides with the corresponding Artin constant, see [22, p. 220], for the formula. The proof of the next result is based on standard analytic number theory methods in the literature, refer to [35, Lemma 4].

Lemma 6.1. *Assume the generalized Riemann hypothesis, and let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Then, there exists a pair of constants $\gamma_u > 0$ and $\nu_u > 0$ such that*

(i) $\displaystyle\prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = e^{\gamma_u} \log(x)^{\alpha_u} + O\left(\frac{\text{loglog} x}{\log^2 x}\right).$

(ii) $\displaystyle\prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) = e^{\gamma_u} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \left(1 - p^{-2}\right) \log(x)^{\alpha_u} + O\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right).$

(iii) $\displaystyle\prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \left(1 - \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{-1} = e^{\nu_u - \gamma_u} x^{\alpha_u} + O\left(\frac{x^{\alpha_u} \log\log x}{\log^2 x}\right).$

Proof: (i) Express the logarithm of the product as

$$\sum_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u,\, k \geq 1} \sum \frac{1}{kp^k} = \sum_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u,\, k \geq 2} \sum \frac{1}{kp^k}. \tag{14}$$

Apply Lemma 5.1 to complete the verification. For (ii) and (iii), use similar methods as in the first one. ■

The constant $\nu_u > 0$ is defined by the double power series (an approximate numerical value for set $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{3, 5, 11, 13, \ldots\}$ is shown):

$$\nu_2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_2,\, k \geq 2} \sum \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^k \approx 0.163507781570971567408003\ldots\ . \tag{15}$$

6.1 Density Correction Factor

The sporadic subsets of Abel-Wieferich primes, see [42, p. 333] for other details, have roles in the determination of the densities of the subsets of integers $\mathcal{N}_u = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \operatorname{ord}_n(u) = \lambda(n)\}$ with fixed primitive roots $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. The prime product arising from the sporadic existence of the Abel-Wieferich primes $p \geq 3$ is reformulated in the equivalent expression

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \prod_{\substack{p^k \leq x, \\ \operatorname{ord}_p(u)=p-1, \\ \operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u) \neq p(p-1)}} \left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p^k \leq x, \\ \operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u)=p(p-1)}} \left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right) \\ &= \prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{W}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \qquad (16) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{W}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}_u} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the subset of primes has the disjoint partition

$$\mathcal{P}_u = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \operatorname{ord}_p(u) = p-1\} = \mathcal{W} \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}}, \qquad (17)$$

where

$$\mathcal{W} = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \operatorname{ord}_p(u) = p-1,\ \operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u) \neq p(p-1)\}, \qquad (18)$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}} = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \operatorname{ord}_{p^2}(u) = p(p-1)\}. \qquad (19)$$

The convergent partial product in (16) is replaced with the approximation

$$\prod_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{W}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{W}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \qquad (20)$$

For $u = 2$, the subset of primes $\mathcal{W}$ is the subset of Wieferich primes. This subset of primes is usually characterized in terms of the congruence $\{p \in \mathbb{P} : 2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^2\} = \{1093, 3511, ...\}$.

Given a fixed $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$, the product $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{W}} (1 - p^{-2})$ reduces the density to compensate for those primes for which the primitive root $u \bmod p$ cannot be extended to a primitive root $u \bmod p^2$. This seems to be a density correction factor similar to the case for primitive roots over the prime numbers. The correction required for certain densities of primes with respect to fixed primitive roots over the primes was discovered by the Lehmers, see [45] and [23, p. 231] for details.

7 The Proof Of The Theorem

The result below has served as the foundation for various other results about primitive roots. Most recently, it was used to prove the existence of infinite sequences of primes with fixed prime roots, and bounded gaps, confer [6].

Theorem 8.1. ([22]) *If it be assumed that the extended Riemann hypothesis hold for the Dedekind zeta function over Galois fields of the type* $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[d]{u}, \sqrt[n]{1}\right)$*, where* n *is a squarefree integer, and* $d|n$*. For a given nonzero integer* $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$*, let* $N_u(x)$ *be the number of primes* $p \leq x$ *for which* u *is a primitive root modulo* p*. Let* $u = u_1^m \cdot u_2^2$*, where* $u_1 > 1$ *is squarefree, and* $m \geq 1$ *is odd. Then, there is a constant* $\alpha_u \geq 0$ *such that*

$$N_u(x) = \alpha_u \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x\mathrm{loglog}x}{\log^2 x}\right) \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{21}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By the generalized Riemann hypothesis or Theorem 6.1 or Theorem 8.1, the density $\alpha_2 = \delta\left(\mathcal{P}_2\right) > 0$ of the subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_2$ is nonzero. Put $\tau = \alpha_2$ in Wirsing formula, Lemma 4.1, and replace the characteristic function $f(n)$ of primitive roots in the finite ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\,\mathbb{Z}, k \geq 1$, see Lemma 3.1, to produce

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\leq x} f(n) &= \left(\frac{1}{e^{\gamma\tau}\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1)\right)\frac{x}{\log x}\prod_{p^k\leq x}\left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f\left(p^2\right)}{p^2} + \cdots\right)\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{e^{\gamma\alpha_2}\Gamma\left(\alpha_2\right)} + o(1)\right)\frac{x}{\log x}\\ &\quad\times \prod_{\substack{p^k\leq x,\\ \mathrm{ord}(2)=p-1,\\ \mathrm{ord}(2)\neq p(p-1)}}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\prod_{\substack{p^k\leq x,\\ \mathrm{ord}(2)=p(p-1)}}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Replacing the equivalent product, see (16) in Section 7.1, and using Lemma 6.1, yield

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\leq x} f(n) &= \left(\frac{1}{e^{\gamma\alpha_2}\Gamma\left(\alpha_2\right)} + o(1)\right)\frac{x}{\log x}\prod_{p\in\mathcal{W}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\prod_{p^k\leq x,\ p\in\mathcal{P}_u}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\\ &= \left(\frac{e^{\gamma_2-\gamma\alpha_2}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_2\right)} + o(1)\right)\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\alpha_2}}\prod_{p\in\mathcal{W}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where γ_2 is the Artin-Euler constant, see Lemma 5.1 for detail. Lastly, the error term $o\left(x(\log x)^{\alpha_2 - 1}\right)$ absorbs all the errors. ■

8 Harmonic Sum For The Fixed Primitive Root 2

Let $\mathcal{N}_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathrm{ord}_n(2) = \lambda(n)\}$ be the subset of integers such that $u = 2$ is a primitive root modulo $n \geq 1$, and let $N_2(x) = \#\left\{n \leqslant x : \mathrm{ord}_n(2) = \lambda(n)\right\}$ be the corresponding discrete counting measure, see Theorem 1.1. The subset $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{A} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p|n \Rightarrow p \in \mathcal{P}_2\}$, which is generated by the subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_2 = \left\{p \in \mathbb{P} : \mathrm{ord}_p(2) = p - 1\right\}$. Since 2 is not a primitive root modulo the prime powers $1093^m, m \geq 2$, the subset $\mathcal{A}$ is slightly larger than the subset $\mathcal{N}_2$. More precisely, the Wieferich prime powers $1093^m \in \mathcal{A}, m \geq 1$, but $1093^m \notin \mathcal{N}_2, m \geq 2$.

An asymptotic formula for the harmonic sum over the subset of integers $\mathcal{N}_2$ is determined here.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number, let $\alpha_2 = \delta(\mathcal{P}_2) > 0$ be the density of the subset of primes $\mathcal{P}_2$, and let $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a subset of integers generated by $\mathcal{P}_2$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}_2} \frac{1}{n} = \kappa_2 (\log x)^{\alpha_2} + \gamma_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^{1-\alpha_2}}\right). \tag{24}$$

The number $\alpha_2 = 0.373955...$ is Artin constant, and $\gamma_2 > 0$ is the Artin-Euler constant, see (12) for the definition. The other constant is

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{e^{\gamma_2 - \gamma\alpha_2}}{\alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{W}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \approx 1.12486444988498798741328.... \tag{25}$$

This numerical approximation assume that $\gamma_2 - \gamma\alpha_2 = 0$, and the index of the product ranges over the subset Wieferich primes $\mathcal{W}$.

Proof: Use the discrete counting measure $N_2(x) = (\alpha_2\kappa_2 + o(1))\, x(\log x)^{\alpha_2 - 1}$, Theorem 1.1, to write the finite sum as an integral, and evaluate it:

$$\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}_2} \frac{1}{n} = \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{1}{t} dN_2(t) = \left.\frac{N_2(t)}{t}\right|_{x_0}^{x} + \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{N_2(t)}{t^2} dt, \tag{26}$$

where $x_0 > 0$ is a constant. Continuing the evaluation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{1}{t} dN_2(t) &= \frac{(\alpha_2\kappa_2 + o(1))}{(\log x)^{1-\alpha_2}} + c_0(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{(\alpha_2\kappa_2 + o(1))}{t(\log t)^{1-\alpha_2}} dt \\ &= \kappa_2 (\log x)^{\alpha_2} + \gamma_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^{1-\alpha_2}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $c_0(x_0)$ is a constant. Moreover,

$$\gamma_2 = \lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}_2} \frac{1}{n} - \kappa_2 \log^{\alpha_2} x \right) = c_0(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_2\kappa_2 + o(1))}{t(\log t)^{1-\alpha_2}} dt \tag{28}$$

is a second definition of this constant. ■

The integral lower limit $x_0 = 2$ appears to be correct one since the subset of integers is $\mathcal{N}_2 = \{3, 5, 3^2, 11, ...\}$.

9 Appendix A: Prime Finite Fields

The last Sections, 10 to 16, assembled a proof for fixed primitive roots in prime Finite fields $\mathbb{F}_p$, for infinitely many primes $p \geq 3$.
The constant $c_u \geq 0$ is the density of the subset of primes

$$\mathcal{P}_u = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \operatorname{ord}_p(u) = p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{P} \tag{29}$$

with a fixed primitive root $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ be a fixed integer, and let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. The expected number of primes $p \leq x$ with a fixed primitive root $u \bmod p$ has the asymptotic formula

$$\pi_u(x) = \# \{p \leqslant x : \operatorname{ord}_p(u) = p - 1\} = c_u \operatorname{li}(x) + O\left(x(\log x)^{-2}\right), \tag{30}$$

where $\operatorname{li}(x)$ is the logarithm integral, as $x \to \infty$.

A conditional proof of this result was achieved in [22], and simplified sketches of the proof appear in [31, p. 8], and similar references. The determination of the constant $c_u \geq 0$ for a fixed integer $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an interesting technical subject, [22, p. 218], [27], [28], et alii. An introduction to its historical development, and its calculations is covered in [31, pp. 3–10], and [45].

The Artin primitive root conjecture on average

$$x^{-1} \sum_{u \leqslant x} \pi_u(x) = a_0 \operatorname{li}(x) + O\left(x(\log x)^{-B}\right), \tag{31}$$

where $a_0 = \prod_{p \geq 2} \left(1 - p^{-1}(p-1)^{-1}\right)$ is Artin constant, and $B > 1$ is an arbitrary number, was proved in [17] unconditionally, and refined in [45]. These works had shown that almost all admissible integers $u \in \mathbb{Z} - \{-1, 1, v^2 : v \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are primitive roots for infinitely many primes. The number of exceptions is a subset of zero density in $\mathbb{Z}$. The individual quantity $\pi_u(x)$ in (30) can be slightly different from the average quantity in (31). The variations, discovered by the Lehmers using numerical experiments, depend on the primes decomposition of the fixed value u, see [22, p. 220], [31, p. 3], and similar references for the exact formula for the density $c_u \geq 0$.

The Artin primitive root conjecture for functions fields was proved by Bilharz, see [41, Theorem 10.11], and the same conjecture for polynomials over finite fields was proved in [37]. However, there is no known infinite sequence of primes with a fixed primitive root. The current literature has results infinite sequences of primes with unknown primitive root in a small finite set. For example, in [18] it was proved that for a fixed primes triple q, r, s, the subset of integers

$$\mathcal{A}(q, r, s) = \left\{q^a r^b s^c : 0 \leq a, b, c \leq 3\right\}, \tag{32}$$

contains a primitive root for infinitely many primes. This result was later reduced to the smaller subset

$$\mathcal{B}(q, r, s) = \left\{q^a r^b s^c : 0 \leq a, b, c \leq 1\right\}, \tag{33}$$

see [19]. In both of these results, the lower bound for the number of primes $p \leq x$ with a fixed primitive root in either of the subset $\mathcal{A}(q, r, s)$ or $\mathcal{B}(q, r, s)$ has the lower bound $\#\{p \leq x :$

$\text{ord}_p(u) = p-1\} \gg x(\log x)^{-2}$ for all large number $x \geq 1$.

These results have been extended to quadratic numbers fields in [11], [34], [39], and most recently in [1]. Other related results are given in [32], [16], [36], et alii.

The technique explored in this note provides an improved lower bound for the number of primes $p \leq x$ with a fixed primitive root u mod p for all large number $x \geq 1$. And the fixed primitive root u is not restricted to a small finite subset such as $\mathcal{A}(q,r,s)$ or $\mathcal{B}(q,r,s)$.

Theorem **10.1** *A fixed integer $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ is a primitive root u mod p for infinitely many primes $p \geq 2$. In addition, the density of these primes satisfies*

$$\pi_u(x) = \#\left\{p \leq x : \text{ord}_p(u) = p-1\right\} = c_u \text{li}(x) + O\left(x(\log x)^{-2}\right), \tag{34}$$

where li(x) is the logarithm integral, and $c_u > 0$ is a constant, for all large numbers $x \geq 1$.

The next three Sections collects the notations and some standard results related to or applicable to the investigation of primitive roots in cyclic groups. The last Section presents a proof of Theorem 10.1.

10 Exponential and Character Sums

A few standard definitions and other basic results in the theory of exponential and character sums are reviewed in this Section. All the estimates are unconditional.

10.1 Simple Characters Sums

Let G be a finite group of order $q = \#G$. The order $\text{ord}(u)$ of an element $u \in G$ is the smallest integer $d|q$ such that $u^d = 1$. An element $\tau \in G$ is called a *primitive element* if it has order $\text{ord}(\tau) = q$. A cyclic group G is a group generated by a primitive element $\tau \in G$. Given a primitive root $\tau \in G$, every element $0 \neq u \in G$ in a cyclic group has a representation as $u = \tau^v, 0 \leq v < q$. The integer $v = \log u$ is called the *discrete logarithm* of $u \neq 0$ with respect to τ.

A character χ modulo $q \geq 2$, is a complex-valued periodic function $\chi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and it has order $\text{ord}(\chi) = d \geqslant 1$ if and only if $\chi(n)^d = 1$ for all integers $n \in \mathbb{N}, \gcd(n,q) = 1$. For $q \neq 2^r, r \geq 2$, a multiplicative character χ of order $\text{ord}(\chi) = d|q$ has a representation as

$$\chi(u) = e^{i2\pi k \log u/(p-1)}, \tag{35}$$

where $v = \log u$ is the discrete logarithm of $u \neq 0$ with respect to some primitive root, and for some $k \geq 1$, see [29, p. 187], [33, p. 118], and [25, p. 271], for more details.

Lemma 11.1. *For a fixed integer $u \neq 0$, and an integer $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\chi \neq 1$ be nonprincipal character mod q, then*

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{\operatorname{ord}(\chi)=\varphi(q)} \chi(u) = \begin{cases} \varphi(q) & \text{if } u \equiv 1 \bmod q, \\ -1 & \text{if } u \not\equiv 1 \bmod q. \end{cases}$

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{1 \leq a < \varphi(q)} \chi(au) = \begin{cases} \varphi(q) & \text{if } u \equiv 1 \bmod q, \\ -1 & \text{if } u \not\equiv 1 \bmod q. \end{cases}$

An additive character ψ of order $\operatorname{ord}(\psi) = q$ has a representation as

$$\psi(n) = e^{i2\pi kn/q}, \tag{36}$$

for some $k \geq 1, \gcd(k, q) = 1$, see [29, p. 187], [33, p. 118], and [25, p. 271]. The additive character sums are quite similar to Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 11.2. *For a fixed integer u, and an integer $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let ψ be an additive character of order ord$\psi = q$, then*

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{\operatorname{ord}(\psi)=q} \psi(u) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } u \equiv 0 \bmod q, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \not\equiv 0 \bmod q. \end{cases}$

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{0 \leq a < q} \psi(au) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } u \equiv 0 \bmod q, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \not\equiv 0 \bmod q. \end{cases}$

11 Representations Of The Characteristic Function

The characteristic function $\Psi : G \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ of primitive elements is one of the standard analytic tools employed to investigate the various properties of primitive roots in cyclic groups G. Many equivalent representations of the characteristic function Ψ of primitive elements are possible.

11.1 Divisors Dependent Characteristic Function

A representation of the characteristic function dependent on the orders of the cyclic groups is given below. This representation is sensitive to the primes decompositions $q = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_t^{e_t}$, with p_i prime and $e_i \geq 1$, of the orders of the cyclic groups $q = \#G$.

Lemma 12.1. *Let G be a finite cyclic group of order $p - 1 = \#G$, and let $0 \neq u \in G$. Then*

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p-1} \sum_{d \mid p-1} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\operatorname{ord}(\chi)=d} \chi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u = p-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u \neq p-1. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

The works in [12], and [48] attribute this formula to Vinogradov. The proof and other details on the characteristic function are given in [14, p. 863], [29, p. 258], [31, p. 18]. The characteristic function for multiple primitive roots is used in [10, p. 146], to study consecutive primitive roots. In [13] it is used to study the gap between primitive roots with respect to the Hamming metric. And in [48] it is used to prove the existence of primitive roots in certain small subsets $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. In [12] it is used to prove that some finite fields do not have primitive roots of the form $a\tau + b$, with τ primitive and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ constants. An interesting application is the proof of Artin primitive root conjecture for polynomial over finite field in [37].

11.2 Divisors Free Characteristic Function

It often difficult to derive any meaningful result using the usual divisors dependent characteristic function of primitive elements given in Lemma 12.1. This difficulty is due to the large number of terms that can be generated by the divisors $d|(p-1)$ involved in the calculations, see [14], [13] for typical applications and [31, p. 19] for a discussion.

A new *divisors-free* representation of the characteristic function of primitive element is developed here. This representation can overcomes some of the limitations of its counterpart in certain applications. The *divisors representation* of the characteristic function of primitive roots, Lemma 12.1, detects the order $\operatorname{ord}_p u \geq 1$ of the element $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ by means of the divisors of the totient $p-1$. In contrast, the *divisors-free representation* of the characteristic function, Lemma 12.2, detects the order $\operatorname{ord}_p u \geq 1$ of the element $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ by means of the solutions of the equation $\tau^n - u = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$, where u, τ are constants, and $1 \leq n < p-1, \gcd(n, p-1) = 1$, is a variable. Two versions are given: a multiplicative version, and an additive version.

Lemma 12.2. *Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime, and let τ be a primitive root mod p. For a nonzero element $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$, the followings hold:*

(i) *If $\chi \neq 1$ is a nonprincipal multiplicative character of order ord $\chi = p-1$, then*

$$\Psi(u) = \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{0\leq k<p-1} \chi\left((\tau^n \bar{u})^k\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u = p-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u \neq p-1, \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

where $\bar{u}$ is the inverse of u mod p.

(ii) *If $\psi \neq 1$ is a nonprincipal additive character of order ord $\psi = p$, then*

$$\Psi(u) = \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0\leq k\leq p-1} \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u = p-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u \neq p-1. \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

Proof: (ii) As the index $n \geq 1$ ranges over the integers relatively prime to $p-1$, the element $\tau^n \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ranges over the primitive roots mod p. Ergo, the equation $\tau^n - u = 0$ has a solution if and only if the fixed element $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is a primitive root. Next, replace $\psi(z) = e^{i2\pi kz/p}$ to obtain

$$\Psi(u) = \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0\leq k\leq p-1} e^{i2\pi(\tau^n-u)k/p} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u = p-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_p u \neq p-1. \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

This follows from Lemma 11.2 applied to the inner sum. For (i), use the equation the equation $\tau^n \bar{u} = 1$, where $\bar{u}$ is the inverse of u, and apply Lemma 11.1. ■

12 Estimates Of Exponential Sums

Exponential sums indexed by the powers of elements of nontrivial orders have applications in mathematics and cryptography. These applications have propelled the development of these exponential sums. There are many results on exponential sums indexed by the powers of elements of nontrivial orders, the interested reader should consult the literature, and references within the cited papers.

The trivial upper bound for the exponential sum in question is

$$\sum_{1\leq n\leq p-1,\, \gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi a\theta^n/p} < \varphi(p-1) \leq \frac{p}{\log\log p} \tag{41}$$

for all integers $p \geq 3$. In addition there are several nontrivial estimates.

Theorem 13.1. ([5], [4, Theorem 2.1]) (i) Given $\delta > 0$, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is of multiplicative order $t \geq t_1 > p^{-\delta}$, then

$$\max_{1\leq a\leq p-1} \left| \sum_{1\leq m\leq t_1} e^{i2\pi a\theta^m/p} \right| < t_1 p^{-\epsilon}. \tag{42}$$

(ii) If $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ is a subset of cardinality $\#H \geq N^\delta, \delta > 0$, then

$$\max_{1\leq a\leq \varphi(N)} \left| \sum_{x\in H} e^{i2\pi ax/N} \right| < N^{1-\delta}. \tag{43}$$

Other estimates for exponential sum over arbitrary subsets $H \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ are also given in the [26]. For the finite rings $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ of the integer modulo $N \geqslant 1$, similar results have been proved [4].

Theorem 13.2. ([15, Lemma 4]) For integers $a, k, N \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that $\gcd(a, N) = c$, and that $\gcd(k, t) = d$.
(i) If the element $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ is of multiplicative order $t \geq t_0$, then

$$\max_{1\leq a\leq p-1} \left| \sum_{1\leq x\leq t} e^{i2\pi a\theta^x/N} \right| < cd^{1/2}N^{1/2}. \tag{44}$$

(ii) If $H \subset \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is a subset of cardinality $\#H \geq N^\delta, \delta > 0$, then

$$\max_{\gcd(a,\varphi(N))=1} \left| \sum_{x\in H} e^{i2\pi a\theta^x/N} \right| < N^{1-\delta}. \tag{45}$$

Theorem 13.3. ([8]) Let $p \geq 2$ be a large prime, and let $x \geq 1$ be a large real number. If the element $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is of multiplicative order $p - 1$, and $a \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(a, p) = 1$ constant, then

$$\max_{\gcd(a,\varphi(N))=1} \left| \sum_{x\in H} e^{i2\pi a\theta^x/N} \right| < N^{1-\delta}. \tag{46}$$

13 Estimates For The Error Term

The upper bounds of exponential sums over subsets of elements in finite rings $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ stated in the last Section are used to estimate the error term $E(x)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Two estimates will be considered. The first one in Lemma 12.1 is based on the trivial upper bound (41); and the second estimate Lemma 12.2 is based the nontrivial results in Theorems 13.1, 13.2, and 13.3.

Lemma 14.1. *Let $p \geq 2$ be a large prime, let $\psi \neq 1$ be an additive character, and let τ be a primitive root mod p. If the element $u \neq 0$ is not a primitive root, then,*

$$\sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0<k \leq p-1} \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) \ll \frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)} \tag{47}$$

for all sufficiently large numbers $x \geq 1$.

Proof: By hypothesis $u \neq \tau^n$ for any $n \geq 1$ such that $\gcd(n, p-1) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x,} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0<k \leq p-1} \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) < \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{p-1}{p} < \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right). \tag{48}$$

This implies that there is a nontrivial upper bound. To sharpen this upper bound, let $\psi(z) = e^{i2\pi kz/p}$ with $0 < k < p$, and rearrange the triple finite sum in the following way:

$$\sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x,} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0<k \leq p-1,\, \gcd(n,p-1)=1} \psi((\tau^n - u)k) \leq \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{-i2\pi uk/p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p} \right|. \tag{49}$$

and let

$$U_p = \sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{-i2\pi uk/p} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_p = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p}. \tag{50}$$

The Holder inequality $||AB||_1 \leq ||A||_\infty \cdot ||B||_1$ takes the form

$$\sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |U_p V_p| \leq \max_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |U_p| \cdot \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |V_p|. \tag{51}$$

The supremum norm $||U_p||_\infty = \max_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |U_p|$ of the exponential sum U_p is given by

$$\max_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |U_p| = \max_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \left| \sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{-i2\pi uk/p} \right| = 1. \tag{52}$$

This follows from $\sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{i2\pi uk/p} = -1$, refer to Lemma 11.2.

The trivial absolute value of the exponential sum $V_p = V_p(k)$ has the upper bound

$$|V_p| = \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi k\tau^n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{p}{\log\log p} = \frac{1}{\log\log p}, \tag{53}$$

since $\varphi(n) \leq n/\log\log n$ for all $n \geq 3$. The corresponding 1-norm $||V_p||_1 = \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |V_p|$ has the trivial upper bound

$$\sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |V_p| \leq \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{\log\log p} \leq \frac{1}{\log\log x} \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} 1 \ll \frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)}. \tag{54}$$

Now, replace the estimates (52) and (54) into (49), the Holder inequality, to reach

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{-i2\pi uk/p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p} \right| &\leq \max_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |U_p| \cdot \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} |V_p| \\ &\ll 1 \cdot \frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)} \\ &\ll \frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)}. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

This completes the verification. ■

An application of any of the Theorems 13.1, or 13.2 or 13.3 leads to a sharper result, this is completed below.

Lemma 14.2. *Let $p \geq 2$ be a large prime, let $\psi \neq 1$ be an additive character, and let τ be a primitive root mod p. If the element $u \neq 0$ is not a primitive root, then,*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0<k \leq p-1} \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) \ll x^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{56}$$

for all sufficiently large numbers $x \geq 1$ and an arbitrarily small number $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof: By hypothesis $u \neq \tau^n$ for any $n \geq 1$ such that $\gcd(n, p-1) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{p \leq x,} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0<k \leq p-1} \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) < \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{p-1}{p} < \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right). \tag{57}$$

This implies that there is a nontrivial upper bound. To sharpen this upper bound, let $\psi(z) = e^{i2\pi kz/p}$ with $0 < k < p$, and rearrange the triple finite sum in the form

$$\sum_{p \leq x,} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0<k \leq p-1,} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} \psi((\tau^n - u)k) \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{-i2\pi uk/p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p} \right|, \tag{58}$$

and let

$$U_p = \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{0<k \leq p-1} e^{-i2\pi uk/p} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_p = \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p}. \tag{59}$$

Now consider the Holder inequality $||AB||_1 \leq ||A||_r \cdot ||B||_s$ with $1/r + 1/s = 1$. In terms of the components in (59) this inequality has the explicit form

$$\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}|U_pV_p|\leq\left(\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}|U_p|^r\right)^{1/r}\left(\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}|V_p|^s\right)^{1/s}. \tag{60}$$

The absolute value of the first exponential sum U_p is given by

$$|U_p|=\left|\frac{1}{p^{1/2}}\sum_{0<k\leq p-1}e^{-i2\pi uk/p}\right|=\frac{1}{p^{1/2}}. \tag{61}$$

This follows from $\sum_{0<k\leq p-1}e^{i2\pi uk/p}=-1$, refer to Lemma 9.2. The corresponding r-norm $||U_p||_r^r=\sum_{p\leq x}|U_p|^r$ has the upper bound

$$\sum_{p\leq x}|U_p|^r=\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}\left|\frac{1}{p^{1/2}}\right|^r\leq x^{1-r/2}. \tag{62}$$

The finite sum over the primes is estimated using integral

$$\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{1}{p^{r/2}}\ll\int_1^x\frac{1}{t^{r/2}}d\pi(t)=O(x^{1-r/2}), \tag{63}$$

where $\pi(x)=x/\log x+O(x/\log^2 x)$ is the prime counting measure.

The absolute value of the second exponential sum $V_p=V_p(k)$ is given by

$$|V_p|=\left|\frac{1}{p^{1/2}}\sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1}e^{i2\pi k\tau^n}\right|\leq p^{1/2-\varepsilon}. \tag{64}$$

This exponential sum dependents on k; but it has a uniform, and independent of k upper bound

$$\sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,}e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p}\leq\max_{1\leq k\leq p-1}\left|\sum_{m\in H}e^{i2\pi km/p}\right|\leq p^{1-\varepsilon}, \tag{65}$$

where $H=\{\tau^n:1\leq n\leq p-2,\text{ and }\gcd(n,p-1)=1\}$, and $\varepsilon>0$ is an arbitrarily small number, see Theorem 13.1 or 13,2 or 13.3.

The corresponding s-norm $||V_p||_s^s=\sum_{p\leq x}|V_p|^s$ has the upper bound

$$\sum_{p\leq x}|V_p|^s\leq\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}\left|p^{1/2-\varepsilon}\right|^s\leq x^{1+s/2-\varepsilon s}. \tag{66}$$

As before, the finite sum over the primes is estimated using integral

$$\sum_{p\leq x}p^{s/2-\varepsilon s}\ll\int_1^x t^{s/2-\varepsilon s}d\pi(t)=O(x^{1+s/2-\varepsilon s}). \tag{67}$$

Now, replace the estimates (62) and (66) into (58), the Holder inequality, to reach

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p\leq x}\left|\frac{1}{p}\sum_{0<k\leq p-1}e^{-i2\pi uk/p}\sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1}e^{i2\pi k\tau^n/p}\right| &\leq \left(\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}|U_p|^r\right)^{1/r}\left(\sum_{2\leq p\leq x}|V_p|^s\right)^{1/s}\\ &\ll \left(x^{1-r/2}\right)^{1/r}\left(x^{1+s/2-\varepsilon s}\right)^{1/s}\\ &\ll \left(x^{1/r-1/2}\right)\left(x^{1/s+1/2-\varepsilon}\right)\\ &\ll x^{1/r+1/s-\varepsilon}\\ &\ll x^{1-\varepsilon}.\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Note that this result is independent of the parameter $1/r+1/s=1$. ■

14 Evaluation Of The Main Term

Finite products over the primes numbers occur on various problems concerned with primitive roots. These products involve the normalized totient function $\varphi(n)/n=\prod_{p|n}(1-1/p)$ and the corresponding estimates, and the asymptotic formulas.

Lemma 15.1. ([46], [47]) *Let $x\geq 1$ be a large number, and let $\varphi(n)$ be the Euler totient function. For $k\geq 1$, the kth moment*

$$\sum_{p\leq x}\left(\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p-1}\right)^k=\text{li}(x)\prod_{p\geq 2}\left(1-\frac{(1-(1-1/p)^k)}{(p-1)}\right)+O\left(\frac{x}{\log^B x}\right), \tag{69}$$

where li(x) is the logarithm integral, and $B>1$ is a constant, as $x\to\infty$.

The logarithm integral has the asymptotic formula

$$\text{li}(x)=\int_2^x\frac{1}{\log z}dz=\frac{x}{\log x}+O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right). \tag{70}$$

The error term can be reduced to the same order of magnitude as the error term in the prime number Theorem

$$\pi(x)=\text{li}(x)+O\left(e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right), \tag{71}$$

where $c>0$ an absolute constant. But the simpler notation will be used here. The case $k=1$ is ubiquitous in various results in Number Theory. Slightly different form occur in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 15.2. *Let $x\geq 1$ be a large number, then*

$$\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1}1=\text{li}(x)\prod_{p\geq 2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)+O\left(\frac{x}{\log^B x}\right). \tag{72}$$

Proof: A routine rearrangement gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1}1 &= \sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p} \\ &= \sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p-1}-\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p(p-1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

To proceed, apply Lemma 15.1 to reach

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p-1}-\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p(p-1)} &= a_0\text{li}(x)+O\left(\frac{x}{\log^B x}\right)-\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\varphi(p-1)}{p(p-1)} \\ &= a_0\text{li}(x)+O\left(\frac{x}{\log^B x}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where the second finite sum is absorbed into the error term, $B > 1$ is an arbitrary constant, and the constant $a_0 = \prod_{p\geq 2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)$. ■

15 Primes With Fixed Primitive Roots

The representations of the characteristic function of primitive roots, Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.2, easily detect certain local and global properties of the elements $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ in a finite field. Exempli gratia, it vanishes

$$\Psi(u) = 0 \text{ if and only if } u = \pm 1, v^2, v^k \text{ for any proper divisor } k|p-1. \tag{75}$$

Ergo, the constraints $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, are necessary global constraints to be a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_p$, $p \geq 2$ an arbitrary prime. But the constraints $u \neq v^q, q \in \mathcal{Q}$, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a finite subset of primes, are not necessary global constraints since there are infinitely many primes for which $q \nmid p-1, q \in \mathcal{Q}$. The requirement of being dth power nonresidues mod p for all proper divisors $d|p-1$, which is equivalent to the definition of primitive root, are necessary local properties.

15.1 Elementary Proof

The simpler and more elementary estimate for the error term given in Lemma 12.1 leads to a weaker but effective result.

***Theorem* 16.1** *A fixed integer $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ is a primitive root mod p for infinitely many primes $p \geq 2$. In addition, the density of these primes satisfies*

$$\pi_u(x) = \#\{p \leq x : \text{ord}_p(u) = p-1\} = c_u\frac{x}{\log x}+O\left(\frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)}\right), \tag{76}$$

where $c_u > 0$ is a constant depending on u, for all large numbers $x \geq 1$.

Proof: Suppose that $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ is not a primitive root for all primes $p \geq x_0$, with $x_0 \geq 1$ constant. Let $x > x_0$ be a large number, and consider the sum of the characteristic function over the short interval $[x, 2x]$, that is,

$$0 = \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \Psi(u). \tag{77}$$

Replacing the characteristic function, Lemma 12.2, and expanding the nonexistence equation (77) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \Psi(u) \\
&= \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0 \leq k \leq p-1} \sum \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) \qquad (78) \\
&= a_u \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} 1 + \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0<k \leq p-1} \sum \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) \\
&= M(x) + E(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_u \geq 0$ is a constant depending on the fixed integer $u \neq 0$.

The main term $M(x)$ is determined by a finite sum over the trivial additive character $\psi = 1$, and the error term $E(x)$ is determined by a finite sum over the nontrivial additive characters $\psi = e^{i2\pi k/p} \neq 1$.

Applying Lemma 15.2 to the main term, and Lemma 14.1 to the error term yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \Psi(u) &= M(x) + E(x) \\
&= c_u \left(\operatorname{li}(2x) - \operatorname{li}(x)\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^B x}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)}\right) \qquad (79) \\
&= c_u \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log\log x)(\log x)}\right) \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant $c_u = a_u a_0 > 0$ depending on u, and $B > 1$ is an arbitrary constant. This contradict the hypothesis (77) for all sufficiently large numbers $x \geq x_0$. Ergo, the short interval $[x, 2x]$ contains primes with the fixed primitive root u. ■

The difference $\operatorname{li}(2x) - \operatorname{li}(x) = x/\log x + O\left(x/\log^2 x\right)$ is evaluated via (70) in Section 15.

15.2 Improved Error Term

This subsection uses the sharper result in Lemma 14.2 to reduce the error term down to the same order of magnitute as the error term in the Prime Number Theorem, confer (71) in Section

15.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ is not a primitive root for all primes $p \geq x_0$, with $x_0 \geq 1$ constant. Let $x > x_0$ be a large number, and consider the sum of the characteristic function over the short interval $[x, 2x]$, that is,

$$0 = \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \Psi(u). \tag{80}$$

Replacing the characteristic function, Lemma 12.2, and expanding the nonexistence equation (80) yield

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \Psi(u) \\ &= \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0 \leq k \leq p-1} \sum \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) \qquad (81) \\ &= a_u \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1} 1 + \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\gcd(n,p-1)=1,\, 0 < k \leq p-1} \sum \psi\left((\tau^n - u)k\right) \\ &= M(x) + E(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_u \geq 0$ is a constant depending on the fixed integer $u \neq 0$.

The main term $M(x)$ is determined by a finite sum over the trivial additive character $\psi = 1$, and the error term $E(x)$ is determined by a finite sum over the nontrivial additive characters $\psi = e^{i2\pi k/p} \neq 1$.

Applying Lemma 15.2 to the main term, and Lemma 14.2 to the error term yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \Psi(u) &= M(x) + E(x) \\ &= c_u \left(\operatorname{li}(2x) - \operatorname{li}(x)\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^B x}\right) + O(x^{1-\varepsilon}) \qquad (82) \\ &= c_u \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $c_u = a_u a_0 > 0$ depending on u, and $B = 2$. This contradict the hypothesis (80) for all sufficiently large numbers $x \geq x_0$. Ergo, the short interval $[x, 2x]$ contains primes with the fixed primitive root u. ■

The determination of the constant $c_u = a_u a_0 > 0$, which is the density of primes with a fixed primitive root $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$, is a very interesting technical problem in algebraic number theory, see [22, p. 218], [27], [28], [31, p. 10], [3], et cetera. Some historical information on this constant appears in [45]. The calculations of the constants for some cases for primitive roots in quadratic fields are given in [39], [11], et cetera. Other calculations of the constants for the related cases for elliptic primitive roots are given in [3], et alii.

16 Problems

Problem 17.1 Let $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$ and let $\mathcal{W} = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : \text{ord}(u) = p-1, \text{ and } \text{ord}(u) \neq p(p-1)\}$. Determine whether or not the subset of Wieferich primes $\mathcal{W}$ is finite.

Problem 17.2 Let $\varphi(n)/\lambda(n)$ be the index of the finite group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and suppose it contains a primitive root $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$. Explain which maximal subgroup $G \subset \left(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\,\mathbb{Z}\right)^\times$ of cardinality $\#G = \lambda(n)$ contains the primitive root u. Some theoretical/numerical data is available in [9].

Problem 17.3 Let $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$. Show that the constants satisfy the equation $\gamma_u - \gamma\alpha_u = 0$, confer equation (12).

Problem 17.4 Determine an upper bound for the least primitive root modulo n.

Problem 17.5 Let $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$. Show that $L(s, \chi_u) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi_u(n)/n^s$ is analytic on the half palne $\Re e(s) > 1$, and has a pole at $s = 1$. Here the character is defined by $\chi_u(n) = 1$ if u is a primitive root modulo n, else it vanishes.

Problem 17.6 Let $u \neq \pm 1, v^2$. Show that the logarithm derivative $-L'(s, \chi_u)/L(s, \chi_u)^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Lambda_u(n)/n^s$ is analytic on the half palne $\Re e(s) > 1$, and has a pole at $s = 1$. Here the prime characteristic function is defined by $\Lambda_u(n) = \log n$ if u is a primitive root modulo n, else it vanishes.

References

[1] Ambrose, Christopher. Artin primitive root conjecture and a problem of Rohrlich. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 157(2014), no. 1, 79-99.

[2] Apostol, Tom M. Introduction to analytic number theory. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.

[3] Balog, Antal; Cojocaru, Alina-Carmen; David, Chantal. Average twin prime conjecture for elliptic curves. Amer. J. Math. 133,(2011), no. 5, 1179-1229.

[4] Bourgain, J. Exponential sum estimates in finite commutative rings and applications. J. Anal. Math. 101, (2007), 325-355.

[5] Bourgain, Jean. New bounds on exponential sums related to the Diffie-Hellman distributions. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 338, (2004), no. 11, 825-830.

[6] Roger C. Baker, Paul Pollack, Bounded gaps between primes with a given primitive root, II, arXiv:1407.7186.

[7] Carmichael, R. D.; On Euler's φ-function. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1907), no. 5, 241-243.

[8] Cristian Cobeli, On a Problem of Mordell with Primitive Roots, arXiv:0911.2832.

[9] Peter J. Cameron and D. A. Preece, Notes on primitive lambda-roots, http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~pjc/csgnotes/lambda.pdf

[10] Cobeli, Cristian; Zaharescu, Alexandru. On the distribution of primitive roots mod p . Acta Arith. 83, (1998), no. 2, 143-153.

[11] Joseph Cohen, Primitive roots in quadratic fields, II, Journal of Number Theory 124 (2007) 429-441.

[12] H. Davenport, On Primitive Roots in Finite Fields, Quarterly J. Math. 1937, 308-312.

[13] Rainer Dietmann, Christian Elsholtz, Igor E. Shparlinski, On Gaps Between Primitive Roots in the Hamming Metric, arXiv:1207.0842.

[14] Paul Erdos, Harold N. Shapiro, On The Least Primitive Root Of A Prime, 1957, euclid-project.org.

[15] Friedlander, John B.; Konyagin, Sergei; Shparlinski, Igor E. Some doubly exponential sums over Zm. Acta Arith. 105, (2002), no. 4, 349-370.

[16] Adam Tyler Felix, Variations on Artin Primitive Root Conjecture, PhD Thesis, Queen University, Canada, August 2011.

[17] Goldfeld, Morris. Artin conjecture on the average. Mathematika 15, 1968, 223-226.

[18] Gupta, Rajiv; Murty, M. Ram. A remark on Artin's conjecture. Invent. Math. 78 (1984), no. 1, 127-130.

[19] D. R. Heath-Brown, Artins conjecture for primitive roots, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 37, No. 145, 27-38, 1986.

[20] Hardy, G. H.; Wright, E. M. An introduction to the theory of numbers. Sixth edition. Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman. With a foreword by Andrew Wiles. Oxford University Press, 2008.

[21] Hildebrand, Adolf. Quantitative mean value theorems for nonnegative multiplicative functions. II. Acta Arith. 48 (1987), no. 3, 209-260.

[22] C. Hooley, On Artins conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. 225, 209-220, 1967.

[23] Li, Shuguang; Pomerance, Carl. Primitive roots: a survey. Number theoretic methods, Iizuka, 2001, 219-231, Dev. Math., 8, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.

[24] Li, Shuguang; Pomerance, Carl. On generalizing Artin's conjecture on primitive roots to composite moduli. J. Reine Angew. Math. 556 (2003), 205-224.

[25] Iwaniec, Henryk; Kowalski, Emmanuel. Analytic number theory. AMS Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[26] Konyagin, Sergei V.; Shparlinski, Igor E. On the consecutive powers of a primitive root: gaps and exponential sums. Mathematika 58 (2012), no. 1, 11-20.

[27] H. W. Lenstra Jr, P. Moree, P. Stevenhagen, Character sums for primitive root densities, arXiv:1112.4816.

[28] Lenstra, H. W., Jr. On Artin conjecture and Euclid algorithm in global fields. Invent. Math. 42, (1977), 201-224.

[29] Lidl, Rudolf; Niederreiter, Harald. Finite fields. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn. Second edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 20. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[30] Moree, Pieter. Counting numbers in multiplicative sets: Landau versus Ramanujan. Math. Newsl. 21 (2011), no. 3, 73-81.

[31] Pieter Moree. Artin's primitive root conjecture -a survey. arXiv:math/0412262.

[32] Moree, P. Artin prime producing quadratics. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 77 (2007), 109-127.

[33] Montgomery, Hugh L.; Vaughan, Robert C. Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[34] Narkiewicz, W. The development of prime number theory. From Euclid to Hardy and Littlewood. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[35] Pappalardi, Francesco; Saidak, Filip; Shparlinski, Igor E. Square-free values of the Carmichael function. J. Number Theory 103 (2003), no. 1, 122-131.

[36] Pappalardi, Francesco; Susa, Andrea. An analogue of Artin conjecture for multiplicative subgroups of the rationals. Arch. Math. (Basel) 101, (2013), no. 4, 319-330.

[37] Pappalardi, Francesco; Shparlinski, Igor On Artin's conjecture over function fields. Finite Fields Appl. 1 (1995), no. 4, 399-404.

[38] A. G. Postnikov, Introduction to analytic number theory, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 68, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[39] Roskam, Hans. Artin primitive root conjecture for quadratic fields. J. Theory Nombres Bordeaux, 14, (2002), no. 1, 287-324.

[40] Rose, H. E. A course in number theory. Second edition. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.

[41] Rosen, Michael Number theory in function fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 210. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[42] Ribenboim, Paulo, The new book of prime number records, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[43] Stevenhagen, Peter. The correction factor in Artin's primitive root conjecture. Les XXII emes Journees Arithmetiques (Lille, 2001). J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux 15 (2003), no. 1, 383-391.

[44] G. Tenenbaum, Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[45] Stevenhagen, Peter. The correction factor in Artin's primitive root conjecture. Les XXII emes Journees Arithmetiques (Lille, 2001). J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux 15 (2003), no. 1, 383-391.

[46] Stephens, P. J. An average result for Artin conjecture. Mathematika 16, (1969), 178-188.

[47] Vaughan, R. C. Some applications of Montgomery's sieve. J. Number Theory 5 (1973), 64-79.

[48] Winterhof, Arne. Character sums, primitive elements, and powers in finite fields. J. Number Theory 91, 2001, no. 1, 153-163.

[49] E. Wirsing, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen uber multiplikative Funktionen, Math. Ann. 143 (1961) 75-102.

[50] Williams, Kenneth S. Note on integers representable by binary quadratic forms. Canad. Math. Bull. 18 (1975), no. 1, 123-125.